Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2024 (pp. 1209-1221)

RESEARCH ARTICLE WWW.PEGEGOG.NET

Revascularization of the Diffusely Diseased Left Anterior Descending Artery: A Contemporary Surgical Review

Mohamed Ezzat Helmy Mohamed Abdelrahman, Mostafa Abdelmohsen Elnewehy, Ehab Sobhy Ragab, Amr Hassan Mansour, Walid Abdallah Attia Hassan Sherif

Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University

Corresponding author: Mohamed Ezzat Helmy Mohamed Abdelrahman

ABSTRACT

Background: Diffuse disease of the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery remains one of the greatest challenges in coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). Extensive atherosclerosis, severe calcification, long-segment stenosis, and involvement of multiple septal and diagonal branches often preclude conventional distal anastomosis, making complete myocardial revascularization difficult to achieve. Inadequate revascularization of the LAD is associated with persistent ischemia, recurrent angina, impaired ventricular function, and reduced long-term survival. Advances in reconstructive coronary surgery, including plaque exclusion techniques, patch angioplasty, coronary endarterectomy, and long-segment LAD reconstruction using the left internal thoracic artery (LITA/LIMA), have expanded the surgical options available for patients with diffuse coronary artery disease who were previously considered unsuitable for conventional bypass grafting.

Aim: This review aims to provide a contemporary overview of the surgical management of diffusely diseased LAD arteries by summarizing current indications, patient selection criteria, operative techniques, conduit selection, perioperative antithrombotic strategies, and short- and long-term clinical outcomes. Particular emphasis is placed on technical aspects of LAD reconstruction with and without coronary endarterectomy, current evidence supporting different reconstructive approaches, and factors influencing graft patency and survival.

Conclusion: Modern reconstructive techniques have transformed the management of diffuse LAD disease from a technically demanding and controversial procedure into a feasible strategy for achieving complete surgical revascularization in carefully selected patients. Contemporary evidence indicates that meticulous patient selection, preference for open reconstructive techniques when appropriate, routine use of the internal thoracic artery, and optimized postoperative antithrombotic therapy contribute to favorable perioperative and long-term outcomes. Although coronary endarterectomy continues to carry inherent procedural risks, improvements in surgical technique, myocardial protection, and perioperative management have substantially reduced complications while enhancing graft durability and symptom relief. Nevertheless, heterogeneity among published studies and the absence of standardized guidelines continue to limit definitive recommendations regarding the optimal reconstructive strategy. Future prospective multicenter studies and standardized surgical protocols are needed to refine patient selection, establish evidence-based operative algorithms, and further improve long-term outcomes in patients undergoing reconstruction of the diffusely diseased LAD.

Keywords: Diffuse left anterior descending artery; Coronary artery bypass grafting; Coronary endarterectomy; Left internal thoracic artery; Coronary reconstruction.

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) remains the leading cause of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality worldwide despite substantial advances in preventive strategies, medical therapy, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), and surgical revascularization. Among patients referred for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), diffuse coronary atherosclerosis is increasingly encountered owing to an aging population, a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, and previous coronary interventions. Diffuse involvement of the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery is particularly challenging because of its critical role in supplying a large proportion of the left ventricular myocardium, including the anterior wall, interventricular septum, and cardiac apex. Consequently, successful revascularization of the LAD remains the cornerstone of surgical treatment and is one of the strongest determinants of long-term survival following CABG. [1]

Unlike focal coronary stenoses, diffuse LAD disease is characterized by extensive atherosclerotic involvement over a long arterial segment, frequently accompanied by severe calcification, luminal narrowing, plaque burden, and disease extending into septal perforators and diagonal branches. These pathological changes often eliminate suitable distal targets for conventional bypass grafting and increase the technical complexity of achieving complete myocardial revascularization. In many patients, standard left internal thoracic artery (LITA/LIMA)-to-LAD anastomosis cannot be performed safely or is unlikely to provide satisfactory long-term graft patency because of poor distal runoff or inadequate vessel lumen. As a result, surgeons are frequently confronted with the difficult decision of accepting incomplete revascularization or undertaking advanced reconstructive procedures to restore adequate coronary blood flow. [2]

Over the past several decades, surgical management of diffusely diseased LAD arteries has evolved considerably. Early experiences with coronary endarterectomy were associated with relatively high perioperative morbidity and mortality, leading many surgeons to reserve the procedure as a last resort. However, refinements in operative techniques, improved myocardial protection, enhanced perioperative care, and the routine use of arterial conduits have significantly improved outcomes. Contemporary reconstructive strategies now include open and closed coronary endarterectomy, long-segment patch angioplasty, plaque exclusion techniques, and extensive LAD reconstruction using the LITA/LIMA or other conduits. These techniques aim to achieve complete anatomical and functional revascularization while preserving myocardial perfusion to the septal and diagonal branches supplied by the LAD.

The concept of complete surgical revascularization has become increasingly important as numerous studies have demonstrated its association with improved long-term survival, reduced recurrent angina, fewer repeat revascularization procedures, and better preservation of left ventricular function. Patients with diffuse LAD disease represent a subgroup in whom complete revascularization is often technically difficult but potentially offers the greatest clinical benefit. Consequently, reconstructive coronary surgery has gained renewed interest among experienced cardiac surgeons, particularly in high-volume centers where technical expertise and multidisciplinary decision-making facilitate the management of these complex patients. [3]

Despite growing clinical experience, several controversies remain regarding the optimal management of diffusely diseased LAD arteries. Questions persist concerning appropriate patient selection, the indications for coronary endarterectomy versus patch reconstruction alone, the superiority of open or closed endarterectomy techniques, the ideal conduit for long-segment reconstruction, and the most effective postoperative antithrombotic regimen. Furthermore, most published evidence consists of retrospective single-center studies with considerable heterogeneity in operative techniques, outcome definitions, and follow-up duration. Consequently, standardized recommendations remain limited, and surgical practice continues to vary substantially among institutions and individual surgeons. [4]

Although previous reviews have addressed coronary endarterectomy or diffuse coronary artery disease in general, relatively few have focused specifically on contemporary surgical reconstruction of the diffusely diseased LAD by integrating current evidence on patient selection, operative strategies, conduit choice, perioperative management, and clinical outcomes. Given the unique anatomical and functional importance of the LAD, a dedicated review is warranted to synthesize evolving surgical concepts and highlight practical considerations that may optimize patient outcomes.

Therefore, this review aims to provide a comprehensive and contemporary overview of the surgical management of diffusely diseased LAD arteries. It summarizes the pathophysiology of diffuse LAD disease, indications for reconstructive surgery, current operative techniques with and without coronary endarterectomy, conduit selection, postoperative antithrombotic therapy, clinical outcomes, and future directions, with the objective of providing an evidence-based framework to guide surgical decision-making in this technically demanding subset of patients.

Diffuse Left Anterior Descending Coronary Artery Disease

Diffuse left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery disease represents an advanced manifestation of coronary atherosclerosis in which plaque extends continuously or involves multiple sequential lesions along a substantial portion of the vessel. Unlike discrete stenotic lesions that are amenable to conventional distal bypass grafting, diffuse disease is characterized by long-segment luminal narrowing, circumferential calcification, fibroatheromatous plaque, and irregular vessel remodeling. These pathological changes frequently extend beyond the epicardial LAD into septal perforators and diagonal branches, resulting in compromised myocardial perfusion over a large territory. As the LAD supplies nearly half of the left ventricular myocardium in many individuals, diffuse involvement of this vessel has profound implications for myocardial ischemia, ventricular function, and long-term survival. [5]

The development of diffuse LAD disease is driven by progressive endothelial dysfunction, chronic inflammation, lipid accumulation, smooth muscle cell proliferation, and extracellular matrix remodeling. Over time, repeated cycles of plaque formation and healing produce extensive fibrocalcific lesions that reduce arterial compliance and significantly narrow the vessel lumen. Diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, advanced age, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and smoking accelerate these processes by promoting widespread vascular inflammation and calcification. Consequently, patients presenting for surgical revascularization today often exhibit more extensive coronary involvement than in previous decades, reflecting both longer life expectancy and improved survival following earlier coronary interventions. [6]

From an anatomical perspective, diffuse LAD disease poses challenges that extend beyond the severity of stenosis alone. Long segments of diseased artery frequently lack a suitable landing zone for conventional distal anastomosis, while heavy calcification may prevent safe arteriotomy or secure suture placement. In addition, disease involving septal perforators and diagonal branches compromises collateral circulation and limits myocardial perfusion even when a technically successful distal bypass is achieved. These anatomical characteristics explain why standard LITA-to-LAD grafting may fail to achieve complete revascularization in selected patients despite excellent graft quality. Careful intraoperative assessment of vessel quality, plaque distribution, and distal runoff is therefore essential before determining the optimal reconstructive strategy. [7]

Coronary angiography remains the primary modality for identifying diffuse LAD disease during preoperative planning. Typical angiographic features include long-segment stenosis, diffuse luminal irregularity, severe calcification, reduced distal vessel caliber, multiple sequential lesions, and poor visualization of distal runoff. However, conventional angiography may underestimate plaque burden because it depicts only the vessel lumen rather than the arterial wall. Intravascular imaging modalities, including intravascular ultrasound and optical coherence tomography, can provide complementary information regarding plaque morphology, calcium distribution, and residual lumen, thereby facilitating more accurate assessment of lesion complexity and surgical feasibility in selected patients. [8]

Diffuse LAD disease is increasingly encountered in patients with previous PCI, particularly those with multiple overlapping drug-eluting stents or so-called "full-metal jacket" reconstruction. In these individuals, restenosis, neoatherosclerosis, or extensive metallic scaffolding may leave little or no disease-free segment suitable for distal grafting. Surgical reconstruction often becomes the only viable option for restoring blood flow while preserving myocardial viability. Similarly, patients with recurrent ischemia after failed PCI, heavily calcified vessels unsuitable for additional catheter-based intervention, or diffuse multivessel coronary disease frequently require advanced surgical techniques to achieve complete revascularization. [9]

The functional consequences of untreated diffuse LAD disease extend beyond recurrent angina. Persistent ischemia of the anterior wall and interventricular septum contributes to progressive left ventricular remodeling, reduced systolic function, heart failure, ventricular arrhythmias, and diminished long-term survival. Numerous clinical studies have demonstrated that incomplete revascularization of the LAD is associated with higher rates of major adverse cardiovascular events and repeat revascularization compared with successful restoration of antegrade coronary blood flow. These observations underscore the importance of individualized surgical planning and reinforce the rationale for contemporary reconstructive techniques designed to maximize myocardial perfusion while minimizing operative risk. [10]

Why Conventional LITA-to-LAD Bypass May Be Inadequate in Diffuse LAD Disease

The left internal thoracic artery (LITA) to left anterior descending (LAD) artery bypass is widely regarded as the gold standard of coronary revascularization because of its superior long-term patency and well-established survival benefit. In patients with focal proximal or mid-LAD stenosis, a standard end-to-side LITA-LAD anastomosis reliably restores myocardial perfusion with excellent durability. However, this conventional approach depends on the presence of an adequate distal target vessel with sufficient luminal diameter, minimal calcification, and satisfactory distal runoff. These anatomical prerequisites are frequently absent in patients with diffuse LAD disease, limiting the effectiveness of standard bypass grafting alone. [11]

One of the principal limitations of conventional LITA-LAD bypass is the absence of a suitable distal anastomotic site. Diffuse atherosclerosis often extends throughout the entire length of the LAD, leaving no disease-free segment for graft implantation. Even when a technically feasible distal target is identified, the vessel lumen may be severely narrowed by circumferential plaque or dense calcification, resulting in restricted blood flow despite a patent graft. Under these circumstances, graft function becomes dependent on a compromised native vessel, increasing the risk of inadequate myocardial perfusion and persistent postoperative ischemia. [12]

Extensive calcification presents an additional technical challenge during surgical revascularization. Calcified coronary arteries are difficult to incise, and rigid arterial walls may not hold sutures securely, increasing the likelihood of intimal injury, bleeding, or anastomotic narrowing. Furthermore, calcific plaque may prevent complete expansion of the graft inflow into the native coronary artery, thereby reducing effective blood delivery to the distal myocardial bed. These technical difficulties contribute to higher rates of incomplete revascularization when conventional bypass techniques are used in patients with advanced diffuse coronary disease. [13]

Another important consideration is the involvement of major septal perforator and diagonal branches. In diffuse LAD disease, obstructive plaque frequently extends across the origins of these side branches, compromising perfusion even if distal flow through the LAD is restored. A conventional distal bypass supplies blood primarily beyond the anastomosis and may not adequately revascularize the myocardium supplied by proximal branch vessels. In contrast, reconstructive procedures such as long-segment patch angioplasty or open coronary endarterectomy can restore antegrade flow along the entire reconstructed LAD, improving perfusion to multiple branch vessels and enhancing the completeness of myocardial revascularization. [14]

Competitive flow and poor distal runoff further influence graft performance in diffusely diseased vessels. Severely diseased distal arteries with reduced microvascular capacity or limited luminal caliber generate higher vascular resistance, potentially reducing graft flow despite technically successful anastomosis. Conversely, irregular residual native flow may create competitive hemodynamics that adversely affect graft patency over time. These complex physiological interactions emphasize that graft success depends not only on conduit quality but also on restoration of an adequate recipient vessel capable of sustaining sufficient coronary blood flow. [15]

Clinical evidence consistently demonstrates that incomplete revascularization is associated with worse early and late outcomes, including recurrent angina, repeat revascularization, myocardial infarction, heart failure, and reduced long-term survival. Because the LAD supplies the largest myocardial territory, failure to achieve effective LAD revascularization has a disproportionate impact on prognosis. Consequently, when conventional LITA-to-LAD bypass is unlikely to provide satisfactory myocardial perfusion, advanced reconstructive techniques—including patch angioplasty, plaque exclusion, or coronary endarterectomy—should be considered to facilitate complete revascularization in appropriately selected patients. Careful preoperative imaging, meticulous intraoperative assessment, and individualized surgical planning are therefore essential for optimizing both technical success and long-term clinical outcomes. [16]

Patient Selection and Indications for LAD Reconstruction

Appropriate patient selection is fundamental to achieving favorable outcomes following reconstruction of the diffusely diseased left anterior descending (LAD) artery. Unlike conventional coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), reconstructive procedures are technically demanding and should be reserved for patients in whom standard bypass alone is unlikely to provide adequate myocardial revascularization. The primary objective is to restore continuous antegrade blood flow to the LAD and its major septal and diagonal branches while maximizing myocardial salvage and long-term graft durability. Therefore, the decision to proceed with LAD reconstruction should be based on a comprehensive evaluation of coronary anatomy, myocardial viability, patient comorbidities, and anticipated surgical risk. [17]

Preoperative coronary angiography remains the cornerstone for identifying candidates suitable for LAD reconstruction. Typical findings include diffuse long-segment stenosis involving most of the LAD, heavy circumferential calcification, multiple sequential lesions, and the absence of an adequate distal landing zone for a conventional LITA-to-LAD anastomosis. In many patients, angiography also demonstrates poor distal runoff or extensive disease extending into major septal perforators and diagonal branches, making complete revascularization impossible using standard bypass techniques alone. When angiographic uncertainty exists, coronary computed tomography or intravascular imaging may provide additional anatomical detail regarding plaque distribution, vessel diameter, and calcification, thereby facilitating surgical planning. [18]

Patients with previous extensive percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) constitute an increasingly important subgroup requiring reconstructive surgery. Multiple overlapping drug-eluting stents, diffuse in-stent restenosis, chronic total occlusions, and so-called "full-metal jacket" LAD reconstruction frequently eliminate suitable targets for distal bypass grafting. In these circumstances, coronary reconstruction with or without endarterectomy may represent the only practical strategy for achieving complete myocardial revascularization. Similarly, patients with failed PCI, recurrent ischemia despite maximal medical therapy, or complex multivessel disease not amenable to further catheter-based intervention should be carefully evaluated for advanced surgical reconstruction. [19]

Intraoperative assessment remains equally important because the final decision regarding LAD reconstruction is often made after direct inspection of the coronary artery. Surgeons evaluate vessel wall quality, plaque extent, degree of calcification, distal runoff, and the feasibility of creating a satisfactory anastomosis. If no suitable disease-free segment is identified or if the distal lumen is severely compromised by diffuse plaque, reconstructive techniques such as long-segment patch angioplasty, plaque exclusion, or coronary endarterectomy may be required to establish an adequate vascular channel before graft implantation. This individualized intraoperative decision-making is a critical determinant of procedural success and reflects the surgeon's experience as well as the specific anatomical characteristics encountered during surgery. [20]

Patient-related factors also influence the choice of reconstructive strategy. Diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, advanced age, peripheral arterial disease, impaired left ventricular function, and previous cardiac surgery are frequently associated with diffuse coronary atherosclerosis and may increase operative complexity. Nevertheless, these conditions should not be regarded as absolute contraindications to LAD reconstruction. Instead, the potential benefits of achieving complete revascularization should be weighed against the anticipated perioperative risk through multidisciplinary Heart Team discussion involving cardiac surgeons, interventional cardiologists, anesthesiologists, and imaging specialists. Such collaborative decision-making enables individualized treatment planning and optimizes both short- and long-term clinical outcomes. [21]

The principal indication for LAD reconstruction is the inability to achieve complete and durable myocardial revascularization using conventional CABG alone. Contemporary evidence suggests that when performed in carefully selected patients at experienced centers, reconstructive procedures can provide satisfactory graft patency, improved symptom relief, preservation of left ventricular function, and favorable long-term survival. Consequently, LAD reconstruction should no longer be considered merely a salvage procedure but rather an integral component of the surgical armamentarium for managing complex diffuse coronary artery disease when conventional bypass grafting is insufficient to restore adequate coronary perfusion. [22]

Surgical Techniques for LAD Reconstruction

Plaque Exclusion Without Coronary Endarterectomy

Plaque exclusion is a reconstructive technique that preserves the native atherosclerotic plaque while restoring coronary blood flow through reconstruction of the left anterior descending (LAD) artery. Following a long longitudinal arteriotomy across the diseased segment, the heavily calcified plaque is left undisturbed, and the arterial lumen is enlarged using an onlay patch, most commonly the left internal thoracic artery (LITA/LIMA). By avoiding direct plaque extraction, this approach minimizes endothelial injury and reduces the risk of intimal disruption, distal embolization, and thrombosis associated with coronary endarterectomy. Plaque exclusion is particularly suitable when the plaque is densely calcified, firmly adherent to the vessel wall, or technically unsuitable for complete removal. [23]

Successful plaque exclusion depends on meticulous surgical technique. The arteriotomy should extend beyond the diseased segment into healthy distal vessel to ensure adequate runoff, while preserving the origins of important septal perforators and diagonal branches whenever possible. The patch must be tailored carefully to avoid luminal narrowing or excessive redundancy that may predispose to turbulent flow. This technique is technically less traumatic than endarterectomy and has demonstrated encouraging early and mid-term outcomes in selected patients, although its success remains highly dependent on appropriate patient selection and surgical expertise. [24]

Patch Angioplasty of the LAD

Patch angioplasty has become one of the most widely adopted methods for reconstructing diffusely diseased LAD arteries. After a longitudinal incision is created along the diseased coronary segment, the lumen is enlarged using an arterial or venous patch, thereby increasing the effective vessel diameter and improving myocardial perfusion. In many cases, the LITA serves as both the bypass conduit and the onlay patch, allowing simultaneous reconstruction and revascularization while maintaining the superior biological characteristics of an arterial graft. This technique facilitates restoration of blood flow to multiple septal perforators and diagonal branches that would otherwise remain ischemic following conventional distal bypass grafting. [25]

The length of reconstruction is determined by the extent of diffuse disease and may vary from a few centimeters to reconstruction of nearly the entire LAD. Careful attention should be paid to creating a smooth transition between the reconstructed and native coronary segments to minimize flow disturbances. Hemostasis is equally important because extensive coronary arteriotomies may increase the risk of postoperative bleeding. When performed meticulously, patch angioplasty provides excellent anatomical reconstruction while avoiding unnecessary removal of native arterial tissue. [26]

Although the LITA is considered the preferred patch material because of its excellent long-term patency and resistance to atherosclerosis, alternative conduits such as the saphenous vein may occasionally be required when the arterial conduit is inadequate in length or caliber. Nevertheless, arterial reconstruction is generally favored because of superior endothelial function, reduced intimal hyperplasia, and improved long-term graft durability compared with venous conduits. [27]

Coronary Endarterectomy

Coronary endarterectomy is indicated when diffuse atherosclerotic plaque completely obliterates the coronary lumen and prevents satisfactory revascularization by patch reconstruction alone. The procedure involves removal of the obstructive atherosclerotic core to restore luminal continuity before reconstruction of the LAD. Despite historical concerns regarding perioperative myocardial infarction and graft thrombosis, refinements in surgical technique and perioperative management have substantially improved clinical outcomes, allowing coronary endarterectomy to become an accepted adjunct for selected patients with diffuse coronary artery disease. [28]

Two principal techniques are employed: closed and open coronary endarterectomy. In the closed technique, plaque is extracted through a limited arteriotomy using gentle traction. Although technically simpler and associated with shorter operative times, incomplete plaque extraction, intimal tearing, and residual obstruction may occur, particularly in long-segment disease. These limitations have contributed to reduced enthusiasm for the closed technique in extensive LAD reconstruction. [29]

Open coronary endarterectomy has become the preferred approach for most surgeons managing diffuse LAD disease. A long longitudinal arteriotomy permits direct visualization of the atherosclerotic core, allowing controlled plaque removal while identifying the origins of septal perforators and diagonal branches. Residual intimal flaps can be trimmed, and the distal endpoint of plaque extraction can be inspected to ensure smooth transition into healthy vessel. The reconstructed artery is subsequently closed using an extended LITA or vein patch. Although technically more demanding, the open technique provides more complete plaque removal and has consistently demonstrated superior graft patency and lower rates of residual obstruction compared with closed endarterectomy. [30]

Long-Segment LAD Reconstruction

Long-segment LAD reconstruction represents one of the most complex procedures in contemporary coronary surgery. It is indicated when diffuse disease extends over several centimeters or involves nearly the entire LAD, leaving no suitable segment for isolated bypass grafting. Depending on intraoperative findings, reconstruction may consist of patch angioplasty alone or may be combined with open coronary endarterectomy when severe luminal obstruction persists after arteriotomy. The primary objective is to establish a wide, continuous vascular channel capable of supplying blood to the distal LAD as well as its septal and diagonal branches. [31]

Precise operative planning is essential for successful long-segment reconstruction. The arteriotomy should encompass the full extent of diseased artery while preserving healthy distal vessel for completion of the reconstruction. Gentle tissue handling, careful identification of branch vessels, meticulous suture technique, and avoidance of luminal narrowing are critical technical principles. Intraoperative transit-time flow measurement may provide additional confirmation of satisfactory graft function before chest closure and allows immediate correction of technical problems when necessary. [32]

Technical Pearls and Pitfalls

Successful LAD reconstruction requires considerable surgical experience and meticulous attention to technical detail. Complete exposure of the coronary artery, adequate myocardial protection, and precise identification of the proximal and distal limits of disease are essential before reconstruction is initiated. Excessive traction during plaque extraction should be avoided because it may avulse septal perforators or create distal intimal tears that compromise coronary flow. Likewise, incomplete plaque removal may leave residual obstruction and reduce long-term graft patency. [33]

Patch sizing represents another important determinant of success. An undersized patch may produce residual stenosis, whereas an oversized patch can result in turbulence, thrombus formation, or patch redundancy. The reconstructed artery should demonstrate a smooth luminal contour without twisting or kinking of the conduit. Finally, postoperative management, including meticulous antithrombotic therapy and close clinical surveillance, is essential because reconstructed coronary arteries remain vulnerable to early thrombosis during the endothelial healing phase. When these technical principles are carefully observed, contemporary LAD reconstruction can achieve excellent myocardial revascularization with acceptable perioperative risk and durable long-term clinical outcomes in appropriately selected patients. [34]

Choice of Conduit for LAD Reconstruction

Selection of the optimal conduit is a critical determinant of both the technical success and long-term durability of left anterior descending (LAD) artery reconstruction. Unlike conventional coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), reconstructive procedures often require the conduit to function not only as a bypass graft but also as an onlay patch for coronary artery reconstruction. Therefore, the ideal conduit should provide excellent endothelial function, sufficient length, appropriate caliber, resistance to atherosclerosis, and favorable long-term patency. The choice of conduit should be individualized according to patient characteristics, coronary anatomy, and the extent of LAD reconstruction required. [35]

The left internal thoracic artery (LITA), also known as the left internal mammary artery (LIMA), remains the conduit of choice for LAD reconstruction. Its exceptional long-term patency, resistance to atherosclerotic degeneration, and superior endothelial production of nitric oxide and other vasodilatory mediators contribute to sustained graft function and reduced incidence of adverse cardiovascular events. In reconstructive procedures, the LITA can be used as an extended onlay patch following longitudinal arteriotomy or open coronary endarterectomy, allowing simultaneous restoration of coronary continuity and arterial revascularization. This approach preserves the well-established survival benefit associated with LITA-to-LAD grafting while facilitating perfusion of septal perforators and diagonal branches along the reconstructed arterial segment. [36]

The right internal thoracic artery (RITA) may serve as an alternative arterial conduit in selected patients, particularly when bilateral internal thoracic artery grafting is planned. Bilateral arterial revascularization has been associated with improved long-term graft durability in appropriately selected patients, especially younger individuals with a long life expectancy. However, the routine use of both internal thoracic arteries remains controversial because of concerns regarding increased operative time and a higher risk of deep sternal wound infection, particularly in patients with diabetes mellitus, obesity, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Consequently, conduit selection should balance the anticipated long-term benefits of multiple arterial grafts against patient-specific surgical risks. [37]

The great saphenous vein remains an important conduit when arterial grafts are unavailable, inadequate in length, or unsuitable for extensive coronary reconstruction. Saphenous vein patches can be used effectively for long-segment LAD angioplasty, particularly following open coronary endarterectomy. Their larger diameter and ease of handling facilitate reconstruction of extensive coronary defects. Nevertheless, vein grafts are more susceptible to intimal hyperplasia, accelerated atherosclerosis, and progressive graft failure than arterial conduits, resulting in lower long-term patency. For this reason, venous conduits are generally reserved for situations in which arterial reconstruction cannot be achieved or as complementary grafts in complex multivessel revascularization. [38]

The radial artery has also emerged as a valuable arterial conduit in coronary surgery because of its superior patency compared with saphenous vein grafts and favorable long-term clinical outcomes. However, its role in diffuse LAD reconstruction is relatively limited. The thicker muscular wall and smaller luminal diameter of the radial artery reduce its suitability as an onlay patch for long coronary arteriotomies, although it may be used as an additional bypass conduit to other coronary territories when complete arterial revascularization is planned. Appropriate patient selection and careful assessment of radial artery quality remain essential before its use in complex reconstructive procedures. [39]

Current evidence consistently supports the use of the LITA as the preferred conduit for reconstruction of the diffusely diseased LAD because of its unmatched biological properties, excellent long-term patency, and favorable clinical outcomes. Alternative conduits, including the RITA, radial artery, and saphenous vein, continue to play important complementary roles depending on patient characteristics and operative requirements. Ultimately, conduit selection should be integrated into a comprehensive surgical strategy that considers the extent of coronary reconstruction, the need for complete myocardial revascularization, conduit availability, and the surgeon's experience to optimize both early and late outcomes following LAD reconstruction. [40]

On-Pump Versus Off-Pump LAD Reconstruction

The optimal surgical approach for reconstruction of the diffusely diseased left anterior descending (LAD) artery remains an area of ongoing debate. Both conventional on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) using cardiopulmonary bypass and off-pump CABG have been successfully employed for complex LAD reconstruction. The choice of technique is influenced by patient characteristics, coronary anatomy, the anticipated complexity of reconstruction, and, most importantly, the experience of the operating surgeon. Regardless of the approach, the primary objective remains complete myocardial revascularization with durable restoration of coronary blood flow. [35]

On-pump LAD reconstruction offers several technical advantages, particularly when extensive coronary arteriotomy, long-segment patch angioplasty, or open coronary endarterectomy is required. Cardioplegic arrest provides a motionless and bloodless operative field, allowing precise identification of the atherosclerotic plaque, careful preservation of septal perforators and diagonal branches, and meticulous construction of long coronary reconstructions. These advantages are especially valuable in patients with diffuse calcification or when reconstruction extends over a substantial length of the LAD. Consequently, many surgeons continue to favor the on-pump approach for the most technically demanding reconstructive procedures. [36]

Despite these benefits, cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with well-recognized physiological effects, including systemic inflammatory activation, coagulation disturbances, transient organ dysfunction, and increased risk of neurological complications in susceptible patients. Advances in extracorporeal circulation, myocardial protection, and perioperative care have substantially reduced these risks; however, they remain important considerations, particularly in elderly patients and those with significant comorbidities. Careful patient selection and meticulous intraoperative management are therefore essential to maximize the benefits of on-pump reconstruction while minimizing procedure-related complications. [37]

Off-pump LAD reconstruction has emerged as an attractive alternative in selected patients. Avoiding cardiopulmonary bypass may reduce the inflammatory response, decrease blood transfusion requirements, shorten mechanical ventilation time, and facilitate earlier postoperative recovery. Nevertheless, performing complex coronary reconstruction on a beating heart presents considerable technical challenges. Maintaining adequate exposure and stabilization during long coronary arteriotomies, patch angioplasty, or coronary endarterectomy requires substantial surgical expertise, and inadequate visualization may compromise the quality of reconstruction if meticulous technique is not maintained. [38]

Several contemporary studies have demonstrated that off-pump coronary endarterectomy and LAD reconstruction can achieve satisfactory early and mid-term outcomes when performed by experienced surgeons in carefully selected patients. However, most available evidence originates from retrospective single-center series, and direct comparisons with conventional on-pump reconstruction remain limited. Current data suggest that the completeness and quality of revascularization are more important determinants of long-term outcome than the use or avoidance of cardiopulmonary bypass itself. Accordingly, the operative strategy should be individualized based on coronary anatomy, patient risk profile, and institutional expertise rather than adherence to a single surgical philosophy. [39]

In contemporary practice, both on-pump and off-pump techniques are considered acceptable approaches for reconstruction of the diffusely diseased LAD. For extensive endarterectomy, long-segment patch reconstruction, or anatomically complex disease, the enhanced visualization provided by cardiopulmonary bypass often facilitates safer and more precise surgery. Conversely, in selected patients with favorable anatomy and when performed by surgeons experienced in advanced beating-heart techniques, off-pump reconstruction offers a viable alternative with excellent clinical outcomes. Ultimately, surgical success depends less on the chosen platform than on meticulous operative technique, complete myocardial revascularization, and comprehensive perioperative management. [40]

Postoperative Antithrombotic Therapy

Postoperative antithrombotic therapy is a fundamental component of the management of patients undergoing reconstruction of the diffusely diseased left anterior descending (LAD) artery, particularly when coronary endarterectomy has been performed.

Unlike conventional coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), reconstructive procedures involve extensive endothelial disruption and exposure of the subendothelial surface, creating a highly thrombogenic environment during the early postoperative period. Delayed endothelial healing, combined with altered coronary flow dynamics following patch angioplasty or long-segment reconstruction, further increases the risk of acute graft thrombosis and perioperative myocardial infarction. Consequently, an effective antithrombotic regimen is essential to maintain graft patency while minimizing the risk of bleeding complications. [41]

Aspirin remains the cornerstone of postoperative antiplatelet therapy following CABG and should be initiated within the first postoperative hours once surgical hemostasis has been achieved. Early aspirin administration irreversibly inhibits platelet cyclooxygenase-1, suppresses thromboxane A_2 production, and reduces platelet aggregation, thereby lowering the incidence of early graft occlusion. Its established efficacy, favorable safety profile, and proven survival benefit have made lifelong aspirin therapy the standard of care after coronary revascularization, including patients undergoing LAD reconstruction. [42]

Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT), typically consisting of aspirin combined with a P2Y12 receptor inhibitor such as clopidogrel or ticagrelor, has gained increasing acceptance following coronary endarterectomy and complex coronary reconstruction. The rationale for DAPT is based on the extensive endothelial injury created during plaque removal and reconstruction, which predisposes to platelet activation and thrombus formation until endothelial regeneration is complete. Although the optimal duration of DAPT remains uncertain, many centers administer combination therapy for three to twelve months after surgery before continuing lifelong aspirin monotherapy. Individual treatment duration should be tailored according to bleeding risk, extent of reconstruction, and patient-specific clinical factors. [43]

Historically, vitamin K antagonists, particularly warfarin, were frequently prescribed after coronary endarterectomy because of concerns regarding thrombosis within the reconstructed coronary artery. Several institutions combined warfarin with aspirin for approximately three months until endothelial healing was presumed to occur. However, routine anticoagulation has gradually declined owing to the increased risk of bleeding, the need for regular laboratory monitoring, and the growing body of evidence supporting effective platelet inhibition with contemporary antiplatelet agents. Nevertheless, warfarin may still be considered in selected high-risk patients or according to institutional protocols and surgeon preference. [44]

Despite increasing clinical experience, no universally accepted postoperative antithrombotic protocol exists for patients undergoing LAD reconstruction. Published studies demonstrate considerable variability regarding the choice of antiplatelet agents, duration of therapy, and indications for anticoagulation, reflecting the absence of large randomized clinical trials. Consequently, treatment strategies should be individualized after careful assessment of thrombotic and bleeding risks, operative findings, and patient comorbidities. Close collaboration among cardiac surgeons, cardiologists, and perioperative care teams is essential to optimize pharmacological management and ensure safe postoperative recovery. [45]

Future research should focus on establishing standardized evidence-based antithrombotic protocols specifically for patients undergoing coronary endarterectomy and long-segment LAD reconstruction. Prospective multicenter studies comparing aspirin alone, dual antiplatelet therapy, and anticoagulation-based strategies are needed to determine the optimal balance between thrombotic protection and bleeding risk. Until such evidence becomes available, meticulous surgical technique, early initiation of antiplatelet therapy, individualized treatment selection, and careful postoperative surveillance remain the key principles for preserving graft patency and improving long-term clinical outcomes following reconstructive LAD surgery. [46]

Clinical Outcomes

The clinical outcomes of reconstruction of the diffusely diseased left anterior descending (LAD) artery have improved substantially over the past two decades owing to refinements in operative techniques, advances in myocardial protection, improved perioperative care, and optimized postoperative antithrombotic therapy. Although these procedures remain technically demanding, contemporary evidence demonstrates that satisfactory early and long-term results can be achieved in carefully selected patients when surgery is performed by experienced teams. Importantly, successful LAD reconstruction enables complete myocardial revascularization in patients who would otherwise be left with incomplete revascularization using conventional coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), thereby improving both functional recovery and long-term prognosis. [47]

Early Clinical Outcomes

Historically, coronary endarterectomy and extensive LAD reconstruction were associated with increased perioperative mortality and myocardial infarction because of technical limitations and the thrombogenic nature of the reconstructed coronary artery.

Contemporary studies, however, have reported marked improvements in early outcomes, with operative mortality approaching that observed in patients undergoing conventional CABG when procedures are performed in specialized centers. These favorable results are largely attributable to improved patient selection, preference for open endarterectomy in extensive disease, routine use of the left internal thoracic artery (LITA), meticulous myocardial protection, and standardized postoperative antiplatelet therapy. Although perioperative myocardial infarction remains an important complication, its incidence has declined considerably compared with historical reports. [48]

Graft Patency

Long-term graft patency remains one of the principal determinants of successful LAD reconstruction. Numerous observational studies have demonstrated excellent patency of LITA grafts used for long-segment reconstruction and patch angioplasty, particularly when complete plaque removal and meticulous coronary reconstruction are achieved. In contrast, residual plaque, incomplete endarterectomy, distal intimal flaps, or inadequate runoff may predispose to graft thrombosis or late graft failure. Routine intraoperative assessment of graft flow, combined with appropriate postoperative antithrombotic therapy, contributes significantly to maintaining long-term graft function and reducing the incidence of recurrent ischemic events. [49]

Long-Term Survival and Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events

Long-term survival following LAD reconstruction is closely related to the completeness of myocardial revascularization rather than the reconstructive procedure itself. Patients who achieve complete revascularization generally experience lower rates of recurrent angina, repeat coronary intervention, myocardial infarction, and cardiac-related mortality compared with those left with untreated diffuse LAD disease. Several studies have demonstrated encouraging five- and ten-year survival following coronary endarterectomy and long-segment LAD reconstruction, supporting the concept that advanced reconstructive surgery can provide durable clinical benefit when conventional bypass alone is insufficient. These findings reinforce the importance of individualized operative planning aimed at restoring adequate coronary perfusion to the entire LAD territory. [50]

Recovery of Left Ventricular Function and Symptom Relief

Restoration of antegrade blood flow through the reconstructed LAD contributes to improved myocardial perfusion, recovery of hibernating myocardium, and preservation of left ventricular function. Many patients experience significant improvement in angina symptoms, exercise tolerance, and functional status following successful reconstruction, particularly when surgery is performed before irreversible myocardial damage has occurred. Improvement in left ventricular ejection fraction is most evident in patients with viable ischemic myocardium, emphasizing the importance of careful preoperative assessment of myocardial viability and timely surgical intervention. Functional recovery also translates into better quality of life and reduced need for repeat hospitalization during long-term follow-up.

Determinants of Surgical Success

Several factors influence the outcome of LAD reconstruction. Favorable prognostic indicators include meticulous patient selection, complete anatomical revascularization, use of the LITA as the primary conduit, preference for open over closed endarterectomy in extensive disease, adequate distal runoff, and adherence to appropriate postoperative antithrombotic therapy. Conversely, advanced left ventricular dysfunction, severe diffuse distal disease, chronic kidney disease, emergency surgery, and incomplete plaque extraction are associated with less favorable outcomes. Importantly, institutional experience and surgeon expertise remain among the strongest predictors of procedural success, highlighting the value of performing these complex reconstructive procedures in centers with extensive experience in advanced coronary surgery.

Conclusion

Revascularization of the diffusely diseased left anterior descending artery remains technically challenging, but contemporary reconstructive strategies have made complete surgical revascularization achievable in appropriately selected patients. Long-segment patch angioplasty, plaque exclusion, and coronary endarterectomy—particularly when combined with internal thoracic artery grafting, meticulous technique, and individualized antithrombotic therapy—can provide durable myocardial perfusion, symptom relief, and acceptable long-term outcomes. Careful anatomical assessment, experienced surgical judgment, and standardized perioperative protocols are essential to optimize results and define the role of LAD reconstruction within modern coronary surgery.

How to cite this article: Mohamed Ezzat Helmy Mohamed Abdelrahman, Mostafa Abdelmohsen Elnewehy, Ehab Sobhy Ragab, Amr Hassan Mansour, Walid Abdallah Attia Hassan Sherif (2024). Revascularization of the Diffusely Diseased Left Anterior Descending Artery: A Contemporary Surgical Review Vol. 14, No. 3, 2024,1209-1221

Source of support: None.

Conflict of interest: Nil.

Accepted: 26.03.2024 **Received** 03.03.2024

References

1. Virani SS, Newby LK, Arnold SV, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2023 update. *Circulation.* 2023;147.
2. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40:87-165.
3. Lawton JS, Tamis-Holland JE, Bangalore S, et al. 2021 ACC/AHA/SCAI guideline for coronary artery revascularization. *Circulation.* 2022;145.
4. Head SJ, Milojevic M, Daemen J, et al. Mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting for coronary artery disease. *Lancet.* 2018;391:939-948.
5. Graham MM, Chambers RJ, Davies RF. Angiographic quantification of diffuse coronary artery disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1999;118:618-627.
6. Campeau L, Enjalbert M, Lespérance J, et al. Atherosclerosis and late closure of aortocoronary saphenous vein grafts. *Circulation.* 1983;68(suppl 2).
7. Loop FD, Lytle BW, Cosgrove DM, et al. Influence of the internal mammary artery graft on 10-year survival and other cardiac events. *N Engl J Med.* 1986;314:1-6.
8. Taggart DP, Benedetto U, Gerry S, et al. Bilateral versus single internal thoracic artery grafts at 10 years. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:437-446.
9. Gaudino M, Benedetto U, Fremes S, et al. Radial artery or saphenous vein grafts in coronary artery bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378:2069-2077.
10. Gaudino M, Benedetto U, Fremes S, et al. Association of radial artery graft versus saphenous vein graft with long-term cardiovascular outcomes. *JAMA.* 2020;324:179-187.
11. Ramasubrahmanyam G, Panchanatheeswaran K, Kalangi TKV, Rao GN. Surgical management of diffusely diseased coronary arteries. *Indian J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2019;35:453-460.
12. Santini F, Casali G, Lusini M, et al. Mid-term results after extensive vein patch reconstruction and internal mammary grafting of the diffusely diseased left anterior descending coronary artery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2002;21:1020-1025.
13. Fukui T, Tabata M, Taguri M, Manabe S, Morita S, Takanashi S. Extensive reconstruction of the left anterior descending coronary artery with an internal thoracic artery graft. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2011;91:445-451.
14. Myers PO, Tabata M, Shekar PS, et al. Extensive endarterectomy and reconstruction of the left anterior descending artery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2012;143:1336-1340.
15. Kato Y, Takanashi S, Fukui T, et al. Long-segment reconstruction of the left anterior descending artery using the left internal thoracic artery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2015;21:308-314.
16. Nishigawa K, Fukui T, Yamazaki M, Takanashi S. Ten-year experience of coronary endarterectomy for the diffusely diseased left anterior descending artery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2017;103:710-716.
17. Nishigawa K, Fukui T, Takanashi S. Coronary endarterectomy for the diffusely diseased coronary artery. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;62:461-467.
18. Nishigawa K, Fukui T, Takanashi S. Preoperative SYNTAX score and late outcomes after coronary endarterectomy. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2018;26:552-557.
19. Nishigawa K, Fukui T, Yamazaki M, Takanashi S. Off-pump coronary endarterectomy with stent removal for in-stent restenosis. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2015;21:594-598.
20. Takanashi S, Fukui T, Hosoda Y, Shimizu Y. Off-pump long onlay bypass grafting using the left internal mammary artery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2003;76:635-637.
21. Takanashi S, Fukui T, Yamamoto S, Hosoda Y. Off-pump long-onlay patch angioplasty to the left anterior descending artery. *Heart Surg Forum.* 2003;6:380-381.
22. Bitan O, Pirundini PA, Leshem E, et al. Coronary endarterectomy or patch angioplasty for diffuse left anterior descending artery disease. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2018;66:491-497.
23. Nishi H, Miyamoto S, Takanashi S, et al. Optimal method of coronary endarterectomy for diffusely diseased coronary arteries. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;79:846-852.
24. Qiu Z, Chen X, Jiang Y, et al. Comparison of graft patency between open and closed coronary endarterectomy: a meta-analysis. *J Card Surg.* 2020;35:3002-3012.
25. Soylu E, Harling L, Ashrafian H, et al. Adjunct coronary endarterectomy increases myocardial infarction and early mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting: a meta-analysis. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2014;19:462-473.
26. Song Y, Xu F, Du J, et al. Coronary endarterectomy with coronary artery bypass grafting and graft patency: a meta-analysis. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2017;25:30-36.
27. Zhang W, Wu Y. Outcomes of coronary artery bypass grafting with coronary endarterectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Can J Cardiol.*

2024;40:89-99.
28. LaPar DJ, Anvari F, Irvine JN Jr, et al. The impact of coronary artery endarterectomy on outcomes during coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Card Surg.* 2011;26:247-253.
29. Sirivella S, Gielchinsky I, Parsonnet V. Results of coronary artery endarterectomy and coronary artery bypass grafting for diffuse coronary artery disease. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;80:1738-1744.
30. Tiruvoipati R, Loubani M, Lencioni M, et al. Coronary endarterectomy combined with coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;79:1999-2003.
31. Ferraris VA, Harrah JD, Moritz DM, et al. Long-term angiographic results of coronary endarterectomy. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2000;69:1737-1743.
32. Livesay JJ, Cooley DA, Hallman GL, et al. Early and late results of coronary endarterectomy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1986;92:649-660.
33. Christenson JT, Simonet F, Schmuziger M. Extensive endarterectomy of the left anterior descending coronary artery and reconstruction with an internal thoracic artery graft. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997;63:1437-1440.
34. Shapira OM, Akopian G, Hussain A, et al. Improved clinical outcomes in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with coronary endarterectomy. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999;68:2273-2278.
35. Byrne JG, Karavas AN, Gudbjartson T, et al. Left anterior descending coronary endarterectomy: early and late results. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;78:867-873.
36. Tiemuerniyazi X, Yang Z, Song Y, Xu F, Zhao W, Feng W. Coronary endarterectomy combined with coronary artery bypass grafting and graft patency. *Hellenic J Cardiol.* 2024;76:40-47.
37. Russo M, Nardi P, Saitto G, et al. Single versus dual antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with coronary endarterectomy. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2017;24:203-208.
38. Yan H, Ge Y, Dong H, et al. Comparison of dual antiplatelet therapies after coronary endarterectomy combined with coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2020;15:155.
39. Balaj I, Jakob H, Haddad A, et al. Role of antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing coronary endarterectomy within coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis.* 2023;10:112.
40. Solo K, Lavi S, Kabali C, et al. Antithrombotic treatment after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2019;367.
41. Kelly JJ, Han JJ, Desai ND, et al. Coronary endarterectomy: analysis of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. Ann Thorac Surg. 2022;114(3):667-674. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2022.02.037
42. Shehada SE, Mourad F, Balaj I, et al. Long-term outcomes of coronary endarterectomy in patients with complete imaging follow-up. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2020;32(4):730-737. doi:10.1053/j.semtcvs.2019.04.008
43. El-Gamel A, Chan B. Full metal jacket endarterectomy of left anterior descending coronary artery is safe with good midterm outcomes. Heart Lung Circ. 2021;30(4):605-611. doi:10.1016/j.hlc.2020.08.007
44. Wang J, Gu C, Yu W, Gao M, Yu Y. Short- and long-term patient outcomes from combined coronary endarterectomy and coronary artery bypass grafting: a meta-analysis of 63,730 patients. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(41). doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000001781
45. Takahashi M, Gohil S, Tong B, Lento P, Filsoufi F, Reddy RC. Early and mid-term results of off-pump endarterectomy of the left anterior descending artery. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2013;16(3):301-305. doi:10.1093/icvts/ivs482
46. Kato Y, Shibata T, Takanashi S, Fukui T, Ito A, Shimizu Y. Results of long segmental reconstruction of the left anterior descending artery using the left internal thoracic artery. Ann Thorac Surg. 2012;93(4):1195-1200.
47. Sundt TM III, Camillo CJ, Mendeloff EN, Barner HB, Gay WA Jr. Reappraisal of coronary endarterectomy for the treatment of diffuse coronary artery disease. Ann Thorac Surg. 1999;68(4):1272-1277. doi:10.1016/S0003-4975(99)00693-5
48. Binsalamah ZM, Al-Sarraf N, Chaturvedi RK, et al. Mid-term outcome and angiographic follow-up of endarterectomy of the left anterior descending artery in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. J Card Surg. 2014;29(1):1-7. doi:10.1111/jocs.12230
49. Ogus TN, Basaran M, Selimoglu O, et al. Long-term results of the left anterior descending coronary artery reconstruction with the left internal thoracic artery. Ann Thorac Surg. 2007;83(2):496-501.
50. Shen J, Xia L, Song K, et al. Off-pump onlay-patch grafting using the left internal mammary artery for a diffusely diseased left anterior descending artery: in-hospital and mid-term outcomes. Coron Artery Dis. 2019;30(5):354-359.